# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ROBERT O'BRIEN and NICHOLAS MARTIN, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	) ) )
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) No. 10 cv 2991
PIZZA PANINOS, INC. d/b/a PANINO'S PIZZERIA, an Illinois corporation, and DOES 1-10,	<ul><li>) Judge Kendall</li><li>) Magistrate Soat Brown</li></ul>
Defendants.	)

## **AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

## **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. Plaintiffs bring this action to secure redress for the violation by Defendants of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act ("FACTA") amendment to the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").
  - 2. One provision of FACTA, codified as 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(1), provides that:
    - ... no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last five digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of sale or transaction.

15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(1).

3. The law gave merchants who accept credit cards and/or debit cards up to three years to comply with its requirements, requiring full compliance with its provisions no later than December 4, 2006. Defendants have negligently, recklessly and/or willfully violated this law and failed to protect Plaintiffs, and others similarly situated, against identity theft and credit card and

debit card fraud by continuing to print the expiration date of the card number on receipts provided to debit card and credit card cardholders transacting business with Defendants.

4. Plaintiffs bring this action against Defendants based on Defendants' violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681, *et seq.* Plaintiffs seek statutory damages, attorney's fees, and costs.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. At all relevant times, Plaintiff ROBERT O'BRIEN was a resident of Illinois.
- 6. At all relevant times, Plaintiff NICHOLAS MARTIN was a resident of Illinois.
- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant PIZZA PANINOS, INC. ("PANINO'S") was an Illinois corporation that, upon information and belief, owned, controlled, operated, managed and did business as "Panino's Pizzeria," located at 3702 North Broadway, Chicago, IL 60613.
- 8. At all relevant times, Defendant PANINO'S was a company that accepted credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business within the meaning of FACTA.
- 9. Defendants Does 1-10 are individual officers, directors, employees and agents of Defendant who authorized, directed or participated in the violations of law complained of. Plaintiffs do not know who they are.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (general federal question), and 15 U.S.C. §1681p (FCRA).
- 11. Venue in this District is proper because Defendants transact business in the District and are deemed to reside here.

### **FACTS**

- 12. On February 27, 2010, Plaintiff ROBERT O'BRIEN received from Defendants at the point of sale at their establishment, a computer-generated cash register receipt which displayed the last four digits of the Plaintiff's credit card number as well as the card's expiration date.
- 13. On April 18, 2010, Plaintiff NICHOLAS MARTIN received from Defendants at the point of sale at their establishment, a computer-generated cash register receipt which displayed the last four digits of the Plaintiff's credit card number as well as the card's expiration date.
- 14. On information and belief, it is possible for thieves to replicate a credit card number using the last four digits of the card number and the expiration date.

#### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of a class pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 23(a) and (b)(3).
- 16. The class is defined as all persons to whom the Defendants provided an electronically printed receipt at the point of sale or transaction, in a transaction occurring in Illinois after June 3, 2008, which receipt displays the expiration date of the person's credit card or debit card.
- 17. The class is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable.
- 18. Upon information and belief, there are over 100 persons to whom the Defendants provided an electronically printed receipt at the point of sale or transaction, in a transaction occurring in Illinois after June 3, 2008, which receipt displays the expiration date of the person's credit card or debit card.

- 19. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the class members. All are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful, negligent, reckless and/or willful conduct.
- 20. There are common questions of fact and law affecting members of the class, which common questions predominate over questions which may affect individual members. These include the following:
  - a. Whether Defendants had a practice of providing customers with a sales or transaction receipt on which Defendants printed the expiration date of the credit card or debit card:
  - b. Whether Defendants thereby violated FACTA;
  - c. Whether Defendants' conduct was negligent, reckless or willful;
  - d. Identification and involvement of the Doe Defendants.
- 21. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent the class members. Plaintiffs have no interests that conflict with the interests of the class members. Plaintiffs have retained experienced counsel.
- 22. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the class members. Individual actions are not economically feasible.

### **VIOLATION ALLEGED**

- 23. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(1), which provides, in relevant part, that:
  - ... no person that accepts credit cards or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last five digits of the card number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of sale or transaction.

15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(1).

24. With respect to machines that were first put into use after January 1, 2005, 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(3)(B) required immediate compliance with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. §168lc(g)(1).

- 25. With respect to machines that were in use before January 1, 2005, 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(3)(A) required compliance with the provisions of 15 U.S.C. §1681c(g)(1) on or after December 4, 2006.
- 26. Defendants accept credit cards and/or debit cards in the course of transacting business with persons such as Plaintiffs and the class members. In transacting such business, Defendants use cash registers and/or other machines or devices that electronically print receipts for credit card and/or debit card transactions.
- 27. After the effective date of the statute and thereafter to date, Defendants, at the point of sale or transaction, provided Plaintiffs and each class member with one or more electronically printed receipts on each of which Defendants printed the expiration date of the credit card or debit card.
- 28. FACTA was enacted in 2003 and gave merchants who accept credit card and/or debit cards up to three years to comply with its requirements, requiring compliance for all machines no later than December 4, 2006.
- 29. On information and belief, Defendants knew of the requirement concerning the truncation of credit and debit card numbers and prohibition on printing of expiration dates, but negligently, recklessly and/or willfully continued to print the expiration dates anyway.
- 30. On information and belief, VISA, MasterCard, the PCI Security Standards Council—a consortium founded by VISA, MasterCard, Discover, American Express and JCB—companies that sell cash registers and other devices for the processing of credit or debit card payments, and other entities informed Defendants about FACTA, including its specific requirements concerning

the truncation of credit card and debit card numbers and prohibition on the printing of expiration dates, and Defendants' need to comply with the same.

- 31. The requirement was widely publicized among retailers.
- 32. Most of Defendants' business peers and competitors readily brought their credit card and debit card receipt printing process into compliance with FACTA by, for example, programming their card machines and devices to prevent them from printing the expiration date of the card upon the receipts provided to the cardholders. Defendants could have readily done the same.
- 33. Defendants negligently, recklessly and/or willfully disregarded FACTA's requirements and continued to use cash registers or other machines or devices that print receipts in violation of FACTA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and the class members and against Defendants as follows:

- a. For statutory damages of \$100 to \$1,000 per violation;
- b. For attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs;
- c. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiffs demand trial by jury.

Plaintiffs ROBERT O'BRIEN and NICHOLAS MARTIN, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

By: s/Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr.
Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. (IL #6231944)

Adam M. Tamburelli (IL #6292017)

ZIMMERMAN LAW OFFICES, P.C.

77 West Washington, Suite 1220

Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 440-0020 telephone

(312) 440-410 facsimile www.attorneyzim.com

Counsel for the Plaintiffs and Class